

Combating Workplace Violence

Guidelines for Employers and Law Enforcement



This project was supported by Grant No. 95-DD-BX-0166 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs, coordinates the activities of the following program offices and bureaus: the Bureau of Justice Assistance, the Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Institute of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office of Victims of Crime. Points of view or opinions in this document are those of the author and do not represent the official position or policies of this Agency.

This report was provided to you as a service of the IACP's Private Sector Liaison Committee. We encourage our readers to disseminate this report as widely as possible. We have listed our name at the bottom so that agencies who are reprinting this for distribution can put their agency name and address on this page as well.



International Association of Chiefs of Police
515 N. Washington St.
Alexandria, VA 22314-2357

Combating Workplace Violence

Guidelines for Employers and Law Enforcement

This document was prepared by the Defense Personnel Security Research Center (PERSEREC) for the Private Sector Liaison Committee of the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP). Funds for reproduction and distribution of the document have been provided by the Bureau of Justice Assistance under grant number 95-DD-BX-0166. The opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this document do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Defense or the U.S. Department of Justice.

PERSEREC staff responsible for project research and document preparation include:

Howard W. Timm
Callie J. Chandler

This publication is made available with the understanding that the distributing organization is not engaged in rendering legal services. If legal advice is required, the services of an attorney should be sought.

This document has not been copyrighted. Complete or partial reproduction is encouraged as long as it: 1) is not done for profit, 2) does not alter the tone or substance of the recommendations, and 3) advances the goal of reducing the hazards of workplace violence in a manner compatible with the needs of employees, employers, and law enforcement.

CONTENTS

Section 1

Introduction	1
Reasons for Establishing a Program.....	1

Section 2

Guidelines for Employers	3
I. Pre-Incident Violence Prevention and Preparation	3
II. Addressing Violent or Threatening Incidents.....	6
III. Managing the Aftermath of an Incident	7
IV. Legal Obligations and Duties of Employers.....	7

Section 3

Guidelines for Law Enforcement Agencies	9
I. Pre-Incident Violence Prevention and Preparation	9
II. In-Progress Violence or Threatening Incidents.....	10
III. Managing the Aftermath of an Incident	10

Where to Get Additional Information	12
--	----

Acknowledgments	14
------------------------------	----

Introduction

Violence in the workplace can have devastating effects on the productivity of organizations and on the quality of life of employees. However, relatively few employers have established effective programs to combat this problem. Similarly, while the police role in combating workplace violence is increasing, few departments have modified their existing training, policies, or practices to reflect those changes. The purpose of this document is to provide employers and law enforcement with guidelines on the steps they should consider to help reduce certain workplace violence hazards.

The document focuses on violence committed by non-strangers (e.g., coworkers, bosses, clients, domestic partners) within a common worksite (e.g., factory, office, shop, construction site). It is important to note that many forms of workplace violence are not addressed, such as robbery, terrorism, and assaults while employees are working off-site. It is crucial that employers also take into consideration the possibility of those acts occurring and take action to minimize the hazards stemming from those crimes. Contact your local police department, insurance representative, OSHA office, security professional, or any of the applicable sources [for more information](#) on how to reduce those hazards.

The guidelines for employers and police departments are presented in separate sections. The law enforcement guidelines were developed with input from over 300 chiefs and command level officers representing large, medium, and small departments. The employer guidelines also reflect input from hundreds of subject matter experts and practitioners, including business owners; managers; supervisors; lawyers; and security, personnel, human resource, threat assessment, and employee assistance specialists.

The guidelines can be used as benchmarks for helping assess the state of an organization's current policies and practices. However, not every recommendation may be appropriate for all organizations. If a suggestion has not already been implemented, the reader should consider whether that recommendation (or some derivation of it) would be appropriate for their organization.

Case examples and other illustrative information have been included to help readers better understand the issues being addressed. In addition, a model policy on workplace violence is offered for possible use by organizations without existing policies.

Employers and police share a leadership responsibility in combating workplace violence. By working together, police and employers can be far more effective in this effort. In addition, this partnership may serve other common interests, such as 1) improving the level of cooperation on community policing and business crime prevention efforts, and 2) increasing the extent to which employers and law enforcement share their resources. It is hoped that these guidelines will play a useful role in furthering this partnership.

REASONS FOR ESTABLISHING A PROGRAM

Some organizations may not see the need for creating a program to reduce the hazards of violence in the workplace, especially if they have never experienced an incident of violence. The following reasons are why it is important for all employers to consider the recommendations included in this document.

- Businesses are beginning to realize the high cost of just one violent incident. These costs can include medical and psychiatric care as well as potential liability suits, lost business

and productivity, repairs and clean-up, higher insurance rates, consultants' fees, increased security measures and—most important of all—the death or injury of valued employees and coworkers.

- Threats and other violent, abusive behaviors are no longer being tolerated in the workplace.
- Executives, professionals, and administrative personnel are no longer immune to acts of violence in the workplace.
- Layoffs, increased workload, having to do more with less, and other unpopular changes in the work environment have been associated with increased risk for violence.
- Recent reports and surveys suggest that workplace violence impacts large numbers of employers and employees (see [Impact of Workplace Violence](#) for more details).

- It's the right thing to do. Employers have both a moral and a legal obligation to provide a safe workplace for their employees, clients and visitors (refer to [Section 2, part IV](#)).

These issues are spurring employers to develop plans for addressing workplace violence. When compared to the potential costs of an incident, these plans are a relatively inexpensive way to reduce the risk of violence and to minimize its impact.

The following [case study](#) illustrates how an organization, never before touched by violence, learned the value of being prepared for an incident.

CASE STUDY 1

Violence Catches Company Unprepared

Prior to the 1980s most companies did not have threat management plans for dealing with workplace violence. Such was the case with a major computer-manufacturing corporation that was forced by two shocking incidents to develop the full corporate workplace violence program that is now in place.

The first event involved an employee who had been fired from the company some years before. Nobody understands why, after so many years, the employee decided to re-focus his unhappiness on the company. However, one day he got into his car and drove to his old building. He drove the car up onto the sidewalk and into the lobby, jumped out, and shot and killed a security guard. He shot at a few others, killing two, and then ran upstairs and began shooting randomly. He remained in the building some 6 or 7 hours, essentially holding all the employees hostage while SWAT teams and other negotiators talked with him. (Eventually, he surrendered. Tried and convicted, he committed suicide in prison sometime later.)

Although company management could scarcely believe that this could be more than an isolated event, they rushed to put together emergency plans throughout the company. Officials and employees alike were stunned when only three months later a second incident occurred at another location. This person had just been fired and had a particular grudge against the company department which he believed was responsible for his termination.

Many changes were initiated as a result of both these cases. Examples of defensive changes are: extending the protective perimeter by preventing cars from driving up to the building, hardening lobbies by creating a second barrier within the lobby, installing alarms, providing building maps, and putting in place special telephones for use in hostage negotiations. A whole range of contingency plans have now been developed worldwide to help the company cope with either preventing workplace violence or dealing better with its often tragic consequences.

Guidelines for Employers

After reading these guidelines employers should have a better understanding of the most important steps they can take to minimize the impact of workplace violence and threats. This section concludes with a description of all employers' legal obligations and potential liabilities regarding workplace violence issues.

I. PRE-INCIDENT VIOLENCE PREVENTION AND PREPARATION

Pre-Employment Screening

Employers who conduct effective background checks can often improve productivity and reduce the number of personnel prone to exhibiting violent behaviors.

- Use a job application form that includes an appropriate waiver and release (permitting the employer to verify the information reported on the application). Prior to hiring any applicant, check references and inquire about any prior incidents of violence. In addition, conduct thorough background checks and use drug screening to the extent practicable.
- Also, evaluate the need for screening contract personnel who work at your facility. Vendors and service organizations whose personnel make frequent visits or spend long periods of time working at your facility should certify that those individuals meet or exceed your Firm's safety and security requirements. Conversely, contractors who assign personnel to work at other organizations' facilities should also consider the host firm's safety and security policies and practices.
- Recommend to legislative bodies that access to conviction records in all states be made available to businesses when conducting their background investigation process.

Take Advantage of Community Resources

There are many programs and resources in the community that can help you develop your workplace violence plans. Some examples follow.

- Invite local police into your firm to promote good relations and to help them become more familiar with your facility. The police can

explain what actions they typically take during incidents involving threats and violence. Such visits can help your firm work better with police when incidents do occur.

- Use law enforcement and security experts to educate employees on how to prevent violence in the workplace. Such experts can provide crime prevention information, conduct building security inspections, and teach employees how to avoid being a victim.
- Consider utilizing local associations and community organizations, such as the Chamber of Commerce, security organizations, and law enforcement groups, as a resource in order to stay abreast of crime trends and prevention techniques. Communicate to your employees those issues and trends which pose a significant threat.

Institute and Review Security Procedures

Periodic review of security policies and procedures will help minimize your organization's vulnerability to violence and other forms of crime.

- Conduct security surveys at scheduled intervals to help determine whether modifications should be made. Four examples of improvements that might be considered during a security survey are:
 - a) Improved lighting in and around the place of work (including parking lots);
 - b) Arranging escorts for employees who are concerned about walking to and from the parking lot;
 - c) Having reception areas that can be locked to prevent outsiders from going into the offices when no receptionist is on duty; and
 - d) When appropriate, having more than one employee on the premises.

- Use, maintain, and regularly review appropriate physical security measures, such as electronic access control systems, silent alarms, metal detectors, and video cameras in a manner consistent with applicable state and federal laws.
- Limit former employees' access to the workplace as appropriate.
- Develop policies regarding visitor access within facilities. For example, if warranted, require visitors to sign in and out at reception, wear an identification badge while on the business premises, and/or be escorted.

See [Use a Common Sense Approach to Risk Management](#).

Improve Internal/External Communications

Employees should have a means to alert others in the workplace to a dangerous situation (see [Case Study 2](#)) and to provide information requested by emergency responders.

- If appropriate, establish an internal emergency code word or phone number similar to 911.
- Place lists of contact persons, crisis management plans, evacuation plans, and building plans where they can be made available to emergency responders. Keep important telephone numbers in several places (including offsite locations), available to all appropriate managers and employees.

Establish Ground Rules for Behavior

Organizations that do not tolerate drug abuse or aggressive interaction lower the risk of workplace violence.

- Organizations should inform employees about policies concerning drugs, violent acts, and possession of weapons so that employees know exactly what is expected of them.
- Implement procedures for your organization to become a drug-free workplace. This includes prohibiting unauthorized use or possession, or being under the influence of alcohol at work.
- Disseminate to all employees a policy of zero tolerance to threats or actual violence at the workplace. For example, discipline or terminate every threat-maker if the complaint is substantiated.
- Establish a policy applicable to everyone employed by the company or on company property, including the company parking lot, prohibiting the possession of weapons which have not been authorized by your organization.

Employers may use the [Model Policy](#) to develop their own company policy on violence and threatening behavior in the workplace.

CASE STUDY 2

Former Client Exacts Revenge

On a bright summer afternoon a middle-aged man rode up the elevator of a downtown high rise. He was toting a black satchel on a dolly similar to the kind lawyers use except that his contained two pistols, a handgun and hundreds of rounds of ammunition. This was the day he planned to get even with the firm which had formerly provided him legal counsel.

No one took notice of the man as he strode down the hall to the glass conference room. The people inside received no warning before he suddenly fired upon them. The gunman continued his rampage, shooting those who attempted to warn their colleagues. He sought out and shot employees who tried to hide and others who were trapped in their offices. As SWAT teams surrounded the floor his two pistols jammed, and the desperate gunman took his own life with the remaining weapon.

The unsuspecting firm learned a hard lesson: that even the most seemingly sheltered environments are not immune to violent crime. They have since instituted better access control procedures and improved internal warning systems among other precautions.

Employee and Manager Training

In order for policies and procedures concerning workplace violence to be effective, they must be implemented in conjunction with appropriate employee training.

- Train managers and other selected individuals on appropriate ways to handle employee termination's, layoffs, and discipline. Examples include appropriate use of Employee Assistance Program (EAP) counselors and outplacement services; providing managers with sensitivity and aggression management training; and, when possible, assessing violence potential of individuals prior to termination and taking appropriate measures such as hiring additional security.
- Suggest local police encourage victims of threats and violence outside the workplace to notify their employers about the incident when warranted so their employers can take appropriate measures to help protect them and their coworkers from possible future incidents of violence at the work site. It is recommended that employers reinforce this message to their employees. Upon notification, employers should provide receptionists and other front-line personnel having a need to know a description or picture of the alleged offender and inform them what actions they should take in the event that individual seeks entry or contact.
- Have available for your employees information about the potential for violence in the workplace, how to recognize the early warning signs of a troubled or potentially violent person, how to respond to those individuals, and how to report such incidents. See the following: [Warning Signs of Potentially Violent Individuals](#), and [Personal Conduct to Minimize Violence](#) for details on identifying and conducting yourself around potentially violent individuals.

Prevention Programs

Companies need to have programs in place to assist troubled employees and to address managerial concerns before violence or threats arise.

- Provide confidential employee assistance programs (EAP) to deal with emotional, substance abuse, marital, and financial problems. Or, provide employees with a list of relevant community resources. Employees, supervisors, and managers should be actively encouraged to use these services.

- Conduct exit interviews when employees retire, quit, or are transferred or terminated to identify potential violence-related security or management problems.

Reporting Procedures

All employees should know how and where to report violent acts or threats of violence.

- Encourage employees to report and establish avenues of communication so they can do so without fear of reprisal or criticism:
 - a. Incidents of threats, harassment, and other aggressive behavior (see [Recognizing Inappropriate Behavior](#) for more details);
 - b. Conditions where employees are subjected to excessive or unnecessary risk of violence; and
 - c. Suggestions for reducing risk of violence or improving negative working conditions, such as establishing a telephone hot-line, identifying specific points of contact in the organization for addressing those issues, having a suggestion box or computer bulletin board, or providing an ombudsman.
- Establish a policy to assure that reports which are submitted from outside the company, concerning potentially violent people who are likely to be present at your worksite are routed to the appropriate manager and then investigated. The types of information collected during an investigation are discussed in: [Threat Incident Report](#).

Prepare a Threat Management Plan

It is important to prepare a threat management plan so that when a threat occurs everyone will know that there is a policy and will understand what to do. The plan might include:

- Designating a threat management team;
- Providing guidance concerning liaison with outside assistance;
- Providing guidance developed in concert with local authorities for collecting and preserving evidence, including interviews of involved parties;
- Managing of communications regarding the incident, for example, media relations, internal communications, and possible use of a rumor control desk;
- Managing the release of sensitive information where appropriate;
- Assigning responsibilities for contacting the families of victims;

- Managing clean-up and repairs;
- Making decisions about returning to work;
- Notifying customers and suppliers about changes in orders;
- Providing employees and their families with information about their benefits; and
- Managing operations and trauma care after the crisis.

The threat management team is a critical component of every successful threat management plan. For more information about the composition and role of the threat management team, see [Threat Management Team](#).

II. ADDRESSING VIOLENT OR THREATENING INCIDENTS

Use All Available Resources

When an incident occurs, bring together all the necessary resources, which may include help from outside the company.

- When a serious threat is made, consult the sources available to you to help evaluate the level of risk posed by the threat-maker.
- When appropriate, obtain fitness-for-duty evaluations of employees exhibiting seriously dysfunctional behaviors at the workplace.
- Maintain an internal tracking system of all threats and incidents of violence.

- When a threat has been made or an incident has occurred, evaluate the situation and, if warranted, notify the potential victims and/or police (see Case Study 3, [Small Business Threatened by Former Employee](#)).

Evaluate Security After a Threat

The threat management team should review risks and determine what additional security measures, if any, should be put in place after an incident.

- If warranted, provide increased work-site protection when serious threats of violence have been made. Such protection might include requesting additional police patrols, hiring security guards, and/or alerting organizations or people who might be affected.
- Consider the costs and benefits of providing increased protection to threatened employees. This could include changing their phone numbers, relocating them, loaning them a cellular phone, or providing them with a quick response distress button or information about where this device can be obtained.
- Seek guidance and training on what procedures should be taken to screen mail and packages after a threat has been made or after a large-scale layoff. Contact the U.S. Postal Service or local police for guidance.

CASE STUDY 3

Small Business Threatened by Former Employee

The partners of a firm never dreamed that a former member of their small family-like business would ever turn against them.

One afternoon an anonymous caller made a death threat against one of the managers. The recipient of the call recognized the caller's voice as a former long-term employee who had recently been laid off by the firm because of a down turn in business.

The police were phoned immediately and began their investigation by contacting the suspected former employee. The man indicated his despair over the loss of his job and quickly confessed to making the threatening phone call.

Fortunately, intervention occurred before the perpetrator had a chance to carry out his threat. However, the remaining employees, already in a state of low morale due to the layoffs, were terribly shaken by the incident. Use of sick leave increased and productivity was negatively affected for several weeks following the threat.

- After a violent incident evaluate the potential for further violence at your workplace and reassess your threat management plan.
- Counsel potential victims about the various civil and criminal options available to them, such as obtaining a restraining order.

Also see Considerations Regarding Restraining Orders and Other Interventions.

III. MANAGING THE AFTERMATH OF AN INCIDENT

Trauma Plan

Helping employees with the psychological consequences of workplace violence is the humane thing to do. It also greatly helps to reduce financial losses caused by absence, loss of productivity among employees, and workers' compensation claims.

After a violent incident, provide information and offer counseling services to employees and their families which may include:

- Providing a debriefing 24 to 72 hours after a serious incident of violence to include all affected employees so that the cause of the violence and expectations can be discussed, a plan of action can be addressed, and those needing further counseling can be identified;
- Providing a group debriefing after a serious incident of violence for immediate coworkers in how to communicate with the victim/coworker who is re-entering the job after absence; and
- Providing ongoing follow-up treatment, as needed.

Case Study 4, Preparation Pays Off, describes how a company successfully coped with a terrible incident because it had made plans in advance.

Support Prosecution of Offenders

To prevent further incidents from occurring and to show their support of the victims, employers should support prosecution of offenders.

- Accommodate employees after a violent incident so they can make court appearances and work with the prosecution.
- Cooperate with law enforcement authorities to help identify and prosecute offenders through the use of any means at your disposal, such as crime stoppers, rewards.

IV. LEGAL OBLIGATIONS AND DUTIES OF EMPLOYERS

The duty of an employer to provide a reasonably safe workplace may arise from a variety of federal or state statutes, regulations, or judicial decisions. Employers seeking to avoid liability for acts of workplace violence should become familiar with the legal requirements. The following highlights provide a foundation for the legal audit of your current business policies and practices for reducing workplace violence.

Workplace Safety

- Compliance with the Occupational Safety and Health Act, and similar state laws, may contribute positively to reduction of the risk of workplace violence.
- Many state courts have ruled that an employer is liable for the dangerous acts of employees if

CASE STUDY 4

Preparation Pays Off

A major manufacturing company on the West Coast with over 300,000 employees has over the years been developing a coordinated management plan for dealing with workplace violence.

During the incident, one employee shot his lover and wounded another individual before turning the gun fatally on himself. This incident occurred at a plant where training had recently been received and an action plan developed. Although in this particular case the death and injury probably could not be prevented, at least the planned system for coping with the aftermath worked flawlessly: police were immediately on the scene, next of kin promptly informed, press releases written, the plant closed temporarily, and employees and relatives counseled. Only thorough training and advanced planning could account for the excellent manner in which the aftermath was handled.

such harm was foreseeable. The employer must use reasonable care in hiring, training, supervising and retaining employees.

- Case law in some jurisdictions suggests that the employer may be liable for the negligent acts of independent contractors, where such contractors are incompetent, negligently selected, or engaged in abnormally dangerous activities.
- Under both federal and state statutes, the employer may be liable for failure to intervene in situations of harassment of employees by supervisors or management, and in situations involving coworkers where the employer was aware of the harassment.
- The employer may be liable for the acts of an employee who is intoxicated or otherwise a risk to others, if the employer exercises control over the employee and is negligent in exercising that control.
- Employers are expected to use reasonable security precautions and other measures to minimize the risk of foreseeable criminal intrusion (based upon the prior experience of the employer, its location in a dangerous area, or industry victimization base rates).
- Employers should be cautious about reducing the level of security because of financial pressures. To avoid or reduce liability the employer should first assess whether the level of security risk justifies reducing security measures.

Training Issues

- Various federal and state laws or case law may require the employer to establish written policy and procedures dealing with harassment, as well as the training of employees as to company policies prohibiting sexual or racial harassment, fighting, and the use of drugs or alcohol in the workplace.
- The employer may avoid or reduce liability for acts of violence in the workplace where it is shown that the employer conducted training for employees on the recognition of warning signs of potentially violent behavior, and on precautions which may enhance the personal safety of the employee at work.

Duty to Warn

- In some jurisdictions, an employer, employment counselor, or therapist may have a duty to warn an identified employee, spouse, or third party of a threat made by another to do bodily harm to that person.

Nondiscrimination

- Under state and federal law, the employer must refrain from retaliation against employees who express their concerns regarding unsafe working conditions, such as threats of violence.
- The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (ADA) and related state statutes prohibit employers from discriminating against qualified individuals with physical or mental disabilities. An employee could claim that his violent or threatening behavior was the result of a disability and request reasonable accommodation from the employer. While federal law and judicial decisions provide that an employer may disqualify an employee who is a danger to self or others, the employer may be obliged to investigate a claim of disability to determine whether dismissal is necessary for the protection of the employee or others in the workplace.

Respecting Employee Rights

- In the event that an employer warns employees of an individual's threat of violence, the employer could be liable for defamation if the employer is subsequently proved to be mistaken. The employer can minimize this liability by conducting a prompt investigation of all allegations and by notifying only those individuals who have a need to know of the risk.
- An employee terminated for having violent tendencies could file a wrongful discharge suit against the employer if the employee disputes his employer's characterization. A thorough investigation of complaints against an employee should be conducted prior to termination. Employers should consider suspension of the employee with pay while the charges are being investigated. The employer might also consider offering the employee a chance to resign as an alternative to termination.
- The employer must respect the privacy rights and confidentiality rights of employees during any investigation.

The above list of legal obligations is not meant to be comprehensive. To find out more about the requirements in your state, refer to your state statutes or ask your legal counsel.

Guidelines for Law Enforcement Agencies

Fifteen years ago police agencies provided little or no service to the victims of domestic violence because such intervention was not considered appropriate. Today nearly every police department has a policy, procedures and resources dedicated to addressing domestic violence. Workplace violence warrants a similar response from law enforcement, given the rise of violent crime by nonstrangers in the workplace, the heightened concern of employers, the high risk for injury associated with these crimes, and the inappropriateness of using traditional police strategies when confronting multiple-victim workplace shooting situations.

Many police executives have concluded that their existing domestic violence programs provide a useful framework for structuring new policies and procedures concerning workplace violence. This strategy was used by many of the police officials who developed the following guidelines for law enforcement agencies. These guidelines should help police address workplace violence in their communities without overtaxing departmental resources. Employers may also wish to read this section so that they can better understand how police agencies might assist them in combating workplace violence.

I. PRE-INCIDENT VIOLENCE PREVENTION AND PREPARATION

Policy and Organization

Large, medium and small law enforcement agencies—should consider the following policies for combating violence in the workplace.

- Encourage police executives to implement exemplary violence prevention policies and practices within their own department.
- Encourage the formation of regional law enforcement coalitions to develop and coordinate prevention resources and provide liaison to assist employers.
- Work closely with other law enforcement groups, prosecutors, and legal advisors to keep abreast of changes affecting the prosecution of workplace violence cases.

Police Training

Workplace violence issues can be incorporated into police training in the same way as domestic violence.

- Incorporate into police academy curricula training on workplace relationships and the conditions that result in violence.
- Incorporate into general in-service training exercises recognition of the causes of work-

place violence, conflict resolution technique training, workplace violence scenarios, and police response guidelines.

- Provide and improve officer training in workplace violence and conflict resolution techniques.
- Train chiefs and officers to handle liaison with employers.

Police Services to the Public

Police agencies should be prepared to assist employers in the following ways:

- Provide employers with information concerning police department resources.
- Provide employers with these guidelines and examples of when to contact the police department in matters involving workplace violence.
- Assist employers in their efforts to improve their physical security and the prevention of acts of violence.

Seek Cooperation from Employers

Encourage employers to keep law enforcement informed of potential problems and to be prepared with information that will aid responding officers.

- Request advance warnings from employers and unions of pending strikes or other events affecting security.
- Encourage employers to maintain current threat management plans, evacuation and building plans, and lists of contact people placed in a location where the documents will be immediately available to the law enforcement officers who respond to an incident.

Encourage the Following Preventive Measures

Police chiefs and crime prevention officers frequently make presentations to community groups. Listed below are several items that could be covered in such presentations to address concerns about workplace violence.

- Recommend that employers, in consultation with their local law enforcement agency, design policies and procedures for handling threats or assaults made against or by their employees.
- Encourage and cooperate with business and community organizations to compile, regularly update, and distribute a list of community resources, such as law enforcement and crime prevention services, mental health services, and women's shelters, for use by their members and employees.
- Encourage local newspapers and telephone companies to list current community resources in a centralized location.
- Recommend that employers implement procedures to have their organization be a drug-free workplace.
- Encourage employers to adopt a zero tolerance policy for violent behavior or threats among their employees. Make the policy part of their new employee orientation sessions, and enforce it. Refer to the example of a model policy.
- Encourage employers to obtain a thorough work history from all prospective employees, and inquire about periods of unexplained employment; conduct as thorough background and work-related reference checks as possible, including checking court records and other repositories; and thoroughly interview job candidates before offering them a position.
- Suggest employers become educated on state, local, and federal laws applicable to assault, domestic violence, trespassing, stalking, and threats.

- Encourage employers to train their employees in conflict resolution techniques.
- Recommend that employers offer employee training in personal security awareness, to avoid being victimized.
- Suggest employers establish an employee assistance referral system for dealing with potentially violent individuals that not only helps the troubled employee, but also serves to protect the other members of the organization.
- Encourage employers to form or participate in an incident resolution team for prevention, intervention, and dealing with the aftermath of incidents.
- Strongly encourage employers to report threats and incidents of violence to their local law enforcement agency.
- Encourage employers to maintain an internal tracking system of threats and incidents (refer to Threat Incident Report).

II. IN-PROGRESS VIOLENT OR THREATENING INCIDENTS

Law enforcement should take the following types of actions after an initial act of violence or a threat has occurred.

- Conduct local criminal history checks of reported threat-makers when a criminal investigation is conducted.
- Have a police officer make contact with a reported violent threat-maker.
- Advise the employer, victims, or potential victims how to obtain restraining or protection orders or other victim services.

III. MANAGING THE AFTERMATH OF AN INCIDENT

Policy and Organization

The following policy and organizational measures improve police responsiveness to workplace violence as well as to other forms of violent crime.

- Encourage coordination between the agencies that deal with workplace violence.
- Encourage the development and use of regional Critical Incident Stress Debriefing teams for first responders.
- Undertake a coordinated critique of the department's response after each serious incident of workplace violence.

Police Services to the Public

Law enforcement plays a crucial role in disseminating information after serious incidents of violence in the workplace. These items concern ways to improve performance in that area.

- Provide the employer with a central point of contact in the department who will answer questions and address concerns.
- Coordinate with the affected organization and other agencies to assist victims' families in locating survivors of a violent incident.

Encourage Employers to Take the Following Actions

Police should encourage employers to follow the recommendations listed below to help minimize the impact of an incident and prevent further violence.

- Encourage employers to use Critical Incident Stress Debriefing teams for employees and other victims affected by workplace violence.
- Encourage employers and labor organizations to participate in aftermath debriefings.
- Encourage the department and the employer to coordinate news releases.
- Encourage employers to support their employees in the prosecution of violent crime incidents.

See also, [Reducing vs. Displacing Violence](#).

Where to Get Additional Information

1. For information regarding a wide variety of topics, including violence issues and delinquency prevention.

National Criminal Justice Reference Service
Box 6000
Rockville, MD 20850
Tel. (800) 851-3420
Fax (301) 251-5212
E-mail: askncjrs@aspensys.com
World Wide Web site at <http://hicjrs.aspensys.com:81/ncjrshome.htm>

2. PAVNET (Partnerships Against Violence Network) Online provides information on promising programs, technical assistance, teaching materials, and funding sources to Internet users. Point your browser to: "gopher://cyfer.esusda.gov:70/11/violence". The information in PAVNET Online is also available on diskette from the National Criminal Justice Reference Service, (800) 851-3420.

3. For listings of violence prevention and treatment programs available to the public, topical database searches, information on violence-related curricula and videos, etc.:

Center for the Study and Prevention of Violence
University of Colorado at Boulder
Institute of Behavioral Science
Campus Box 442
Boulder, CO 80309-0442
Tel. (303) 492-8465
E-mail: cspv@colorado.edu
<http://www.colorado.edu/cspv>

4. Nonprofit corporation established by the parents of four teenagers who were murdered at work. Provides brochures, videotapes and other information regarding the risks of workplace violence.

"We Will Not Forget SAJE, Inc."
P.O. Box 650124
Austin, TX 78765
Tel. (512) 488-6972

5. The USC Center for Crisis Management has conducted a national survey on workplace violence, and conducts management training for preventing and/or responding to workplace violence. A summary report of the research may be obtained by contacting the Center.

Center for Crisis Management
Graduate School of Business
University of Southern California
Bridge Hall 200
Los Angeles, CA 90084-1421
Tel. (213) 740-8504

6. The IACP sponsors a number of publications concerning topical crime prevention issues such as combating drug crimes in the workplace.

The International Association of Chiefs of Police
515 N. Washington St.
Alexandria, VA 22314-2357
(703) 836-6767



PRIVATE SECTOR LIAISON COMMITTEE (PSLC)

Members of the IACP Private Sector Liaison Committee not only initiated the project, they also hosted 16 focus groups to identify and refine the recommendations and provided support and guidance throughout the entire process.

Private Sector

Marene N. Allison
Vice President Loss Prevention
The Great Atlantic and Pacific
Tea Company, Inc.

Richard H. Blay**
Director of Security and Fire
Protection
The Boeing Company

Thomas W. Cemock
Corporate Security and Safety,
Technical Services
Simon Management Company

Henry I. De Geneste
Senior Vice President
Prudential Securities

Konstandin L. Dionis
Regional Security Manager
AT&T

William E. Eyres
Director of Security, Western
Region
IBM Corporation

Anthony C. Fague
Director of Engineering
ADT Security Systems

William A. Gallucci
Security Consultant
GTECH

Radford W. Jones
Manager, Security Department
Ford Motor Company

Gerard A. Marini*
Executive Director
The Governor's Council for a
Drug Free Workplace, Inc.
New Jersey

Clifford J. Maurer
D.B. Kelly Associates, Inc.

John M. MacNamara, Jr.
Manager of Loss Prevention
J.C. Penney Company

Dick Moe
Director Assets Preservation
Metromedia Restaurant Group

Edward D. O'Brien
Director Corporate Security
Champion International
Corporation

Lawrence A. Wansley
Managing Director
Corporate Security
American Airlines

Thomas W. Wathen
President and CEO
Pinkerton's, Inc.

Gerald W. Wernz
Director of Audit and Security
Boise Cascade Corporation

Public Sector

Sherman Block
Sheriff
Los Angeles County, CA

James L. Brodie
Department of Public Safety
Oak Forest Hospital of Cook
County

Jaime J. Cagigas
Deputy Assistant Director
Office of Government Liaison
and Public Affairs
U.S. Secret Service

Eugene R. Cromartie
IACP - Staff Liaison
International Association of
Chiefs of Police, Inc.

Michael D. Gambrill
Chief of Police
Baltimore County Police
Department

Ronald H. Grimming
Director
Florida Highway Patrol

Hobart Henson
Director
National Center of State and
Local Training
Federal Law Enforcement
Training Center

John C. Holt
Assistant Chief
Dallas Police Department

Marvin L. Herrington
Director of Public Safety
Stanford University

Weldon Kennedy
Deputy Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Bobby D. Moody
Chief of Police
Covington Police Department,
GA

Andrew A. O'Connell
Executive Assistant to the
Director
U.S. Secret Service

Larry A. Potts
Special Assistant to the Assistant
Director
Training Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

Thomas M. Seamon
Deputy Police Commissioner
Philadelphia Police Department

Michael G. Shanahan*
Chief of Police (ret.)
University of Washington Police
Department

Anthony M. Wilson
Assistant SAIC
Chicago Field Division
Drug Enforcement
Administration

* PSLC Co-chairs

**Chair, Violence in the Workplace Sub-Committee

Acknowledgments

Many thanks to the following individuals from the fields of law enforcement, business, labor, security, psychology, law, criminology, counseling and human relations for their participation in our focus groups and surveys. Without their cooperation and assistance, this project could not have been possible.

Robert H. Abrams	Matthew N. Biscotti	James Cawood	Charles R. Dickerson
Tom Agnos	Mike Blake	William Cecere	Billy Dickson
David Aguilar	Theodore H. Blau	Robert L. Champagne	Lisa Dickson
Steve Albrecht	Richard H. Blay	Edward J. Chavez	Gordon A. Dillon
Marene N. Allison	Sherman Block	Kenneth E. Christian	Chuck Dionis
Harlan Amandus	Bruce Blythe	Cathleen Civiello	Greg Dodson
Nick Ambroseccchio	F.G. Bolton Jr.	George Clisby	Robert P. Doherty
Deborah Anderson	Chris Bonk	Paul B. Cogswell	Beve Doolittle
Garry Anderson	Daniel J. Bord	Daniel Cohen	Dale Douglass
Gary Anderson	Roy N. Bordes	James Collier	Ken Dowd
Thomas W. Anderson	Joachim I. Borowski	John J. Collins	Harry T. Edmundson
R.W. Angelini	James M. Botting	David J. Collum	Edward P. Edwardson
John Apeldorn	Norman Bottom	Brett Colston	Frema Engel
Richard Arvey	Gerald T. Brandt	L. Earle Conner	Carl D. Ent
Jim Ashby	Bill Bridger	John T. Connolly	Rosemary J. Erickson
Phil Asiala	John Broad	Paul Cook	Stephen C. Erickson
John B. Askey	James F. Broder	Michael Corcoran	E.E. Eunice
Richard Ault	James L. Brodie	John A. Corder	Paul Evans
James Avera	Robert Brooks	Neil B. Corley	Richard Evans
Clarence L. Ayers	George B. Brosan	Victor I. Costello	Bill Eyres
James H. Bailey	David W. Brown	Teresa Crooks	John S. Farrell
Nancy Bailey	Tommy Brown	Joseph F. Croughwell	Joseph P. Faughnan
Ronald W. Bailey	David R. Bruce	Mike Culpepper	Terry L. Fell
Gaylord L. Baker	Frank Bucheit	William C. Cunningham	Nina Fendel
Jack P. Baldwin	Chris Buccellato	John Cusolito	Robert K. Ferber
S. Anthony Baron	Charles R. Burchell	Francis D'Addario	Maria Fernandez
Jackie H. Barrett	John Burdick	Dave Dahnig	Jerry Findley
Theodore P. Barron	Terry J. Burgin	Sally Daniel	James R. Fleming
Debra Batavia	Thomas G. Burke	William Tobin Darden	Bill Foster
William Bergman	Susan Burroughs	Dominic V. Degidio	Robert W. Fox
Tom Berlinger	Hal B. Caldwell	William Deissler	Susan Fox
Carl Berry	Fred R. Carmichael	John E. Deleray	Lee Freeman
Patrick R. Beyer	Jim Carr	Alfred Demauro	Gary A. Freitas
Robert D. Bickel	Jesse C. Carter	Roger L. Depue	Timothy M. Freudenberger
William H. Biggerstaff	Willis A. Casey	John M. Dermatt	Greg Frost
David Binney	George Catalano	Ronald Deziel	Janice Fulkerson
	Louie Caudell	David E. Dial	John B. Fulks

Glenn R. Gabriel	Nancy L. Hayhurst	Gordon W. Kettler	Cliff Maurer
Michael D. Gambrell	Dan Heidt	Larry Kibbee	Charles C. Mayo
Frederick A. Gantz	Kirk Heilbrun	William H. Kidwell	Leo McCann
Charles M. Garber	Cal Henderson	Patrick O. Kiel	Jack McCarthy
Joseph P. Gargan	Joe R. Henderson Jr.	Douglas P. Kight	Mark Mcclung
Randall W. Gaston	Thomas L. Hennies	Steve C. Kincheloe	John M. McGovern
Gale G. Gault	Ernest Hernandez	Kevin K. King	Isaiah McKinon
David S. Geeslin	Jeffrey D. Herrman	Kimberly U. King	Edward McLaughlin
John Geider	Luther Hert	Peter King	Mike McMains
Michael Gelles	Morton A. Hetzecker	Rob King	Pat McMillan
Ted Gessner	Charles Higginbotham	Joseph A. Kinney	Jack McPherson
Frank C. Gilbert	Joanne Hiss	Chris Knight	Robert J. Meadows
Marion Gillen	Amy Hittner	Elliel F. Knutsen	Ed Meiman
Charles Gillingham	Chris Hogan	Richard W. Kobetz	Virginia Melvin
David Gilson	Gary Hogancamp	Gary D. Konzak	Jesus Menocal
Patricia Giorgio-Fox	Phillip M. Hogg	Jess Kraus	Jeanne A. Miller
Stanley D. Glanz	Christina Holbrook	Richard Kuhlman	Jerome P. Miller
Bruce D. Glasscock	Brian P. Hollstein	Steve J. Kunding	Paul M. Miller
Mark Gleckman	Bob Holt	David Kunkle	Tom Miller
Philip A. Goehring	John C. Holt	William J. LaBrie	William D. Miller
Jerry Gold	John Hooks	Richard T. Labus	Robert F. Millman
Eric M. Goldberg	Paul Hoover	Richard W. Lack	Nancy Milstead
Bob Gonzales	John D. Hopper	Donald J. Lally	Dick Moe
Thomas P. Gordon	Douglas S. Hoyt	Jerry Lance	Armin J. Moeller
Gary M. Goss	Robert Huerta	Raymond J. Landry	Kris Mohandie
James P. Graham	David Hull	John Lane	John Monahan
George Graves	Denise Hunter	Donald Lavey	Jackie R. Moore
Melvin A. Gravitz	Diane Ingersoll	Thomas C. Lawson	G. Byron Morgan
David R. Green	Robin E. Inwald	John S. Lax	Lynn Morin
Donald E. Greer	James Janik	Debbie Lenington	Walter A. Morse
Joyce Griffith	Donald J. Jarvey	Michael J. Lerner	Ray Mosko
Ronald H. Grimming	Dennis L. Johnson	Mike Leyman	Robert E. Mossman
Linden Gross	Larry C. Johnson	Frank Lichtenberger	William Moulder
Milton J. Grover	Tim Johnson	William C. Lillich	Jason Moulton
Richard A. Gulden	John W. Jones	Linda Lind	John Moylett
Curt Hall	Rad Jones	Mark Lipian	Larry Mulcrone
Charles A. Hammaker	Penny A. Jung	William A. Liquori	Michael Mumford
J. Peter Hansen	Hendrik J. Kanavel	Terry Luddy	Robert V. Nardella
Cindy Hardy	Jerrold Kane	Marty Lynch	Clark R. Nebeker
Ann Harkawy	Colin J. Kastanos	Prentice M. Lyons	W.R. Neill
Myra Harmon	Steve C. Kaufer	Greg Mack	Dan Nelson
Thomas D. Harpley	John M. Keane	James R. Madison	Steven R. Nelson
Steve Harris	James F. Keesling	Richard Mainey	John R. Newhart
Robert Harrison	Gwendolyn Puryear Keita	Dennis Mansfield	William Newman
Ronald L. Haskins	Bob Kemmis	Gerard A. Marini	John Nicoletti
Conrad V. Hassel	Ken Kennamer	Van Marsh	David R. Niebur
Chris Hatcher	Bruce D. Kennedy	Mark A. Marshall	Jan W. Noble
Ronald Hauri	Weldon L. Kennedy	Robert J. Martin	Terry Nowell
Robert Hayes	Bob Keppel	Jurg W. Mattman	Patrick T. O'Boyle

Ed O'Brien	J.M. Roddenberry	Julie Stanton	Roger Gene Wittrup
Jennifer O'Connor	William F. Rogers	Edward O. Stauch	Werner S. Wolff
Steven O'Connor	James Rogge	Steven H. Staveley	Charlie W. Wright
Brian O'Keefe	William A. Roiter	Randy Stegmeier	Chris M. Wright
Kevin O'Leary	Mirito Rosanio	Tom Stephenson	James A. Wright
Dennis A. O'Neill	Raymond J. Rose	Robert L. Stewart	Jerry L. Wright
Rosalinda O'Neill	Pamela E. Roskowski	Richard Stokes	James W. Yeastead
John O'Laughlin	Gerald R. Rossler	John A. Stoltzfus	David T. Yohman
Edward Oliver	James A. Roth	Robert A. Stoneman	Marlene A. Young
Stephen W. Ott	B.W. Rowe	William K. Stover	Michael W. Young
Mike Owens	J.W. Rudolph	Karen O. Strauss	
Richard Padzieski	Eugene Rugala	James R.W. Stumbles	
Al Palmer	Steve Rupard	Tom Sutak	
Tom Pardoe	Maureen Rush	Ken W. Swindle	
William D. Parsons	Jeffrey E. Rusk	Randolph Z. Swingen	
Lloyd Patience	Julius J. Rutili	Vincent G. Swinney	
Connie Patrick	Natalie Salazar	Bruce Takach	
Donald F. Patterson	Steve Saltz	Johnathan Tal	
Larry A. Paulson	Floyd Sanderson	Fred N. Taylor	
Lyndon Payne	James L. Scharf	Lee E. Taylor	
Ronald Petersen	Thomas R. Schenck	Paul B. Taylor	
Joan Petiori	Willard R. Schlieter	Dan R. Thies	
John D. Pewitt	Bill Schmidt	James M. Thomas	
James Phelan	Ronna Schmoker	Joseph E. Thomas	
Mike Picraux	Bill Schroeder	Samuel Thompson	
William Pilchak	David C. Schultz	Paula Tonkin	
Frank Pinto	Peter J. Schweitzer	Jan Travnick	
Richard V. Polzin	Kerry V. Scott	Sandy Tsongas	
Robert R. Postovit	Samuel C. Scott	G. Patrick Tully	
W.H. Price	Thomas L. Scott	James T. Turner	
Marvin Prigmore	Thomas M. Seamon	Tom M. Ulvey	
Frank Pryor	Stuart Sechriest	James J. Wade	
David W. Purdy	Harv Segall	John M. Waldron	
Stan Rae	Robert Seruggs	John P. Walker	
Ronald R. Rafteveld	Michael G. Shanahan	Alan L. Wallis	
Jackson Rainer	James H. Shaw	Timothy J. Walsh	
Douglas R. Ramseth	Joseph F. Sica	Mark Warrington	
Dianne Raynor	Ray Simmons	B.F. Watkins	
Thomas M. Reasoner	Margaret Skidgel	Gene Q. Watson	
Frank C. Reed	Robert G. Skipper	Vernon T. Wells	
Edward Reina	Robert W. Smith	Gerald W. Wernz	
Michael Resnick	Dennis L. Smits	Carl D. White	
Dan Richmond	Doug Snyder	John White	
Nicholas V. Rifice	Ira S. Somerson	Stephen White	
Bill Riley	Jerome Spates	Allan Wickersty	
Brian A. Riley	John W. Spetch	Charles W. Williams	
George E. Ripberger	Mark Squiers	Lynda Williams	
John Roberts	Al St. Lawrence	Woody Williams	
Roger H. Robinson	Kenneth J. Stadnik	William R. Wipprecht	