Training Curriculum for Investigating Allegations of Staff Sexual Misconduct with Inmates

By

Susan W. McCampbell
Elizabeth P. Layman

Center for Innovative Public Policies, Inc.
Tamarac, Florida

October 2000

This document was prepared under cooperative agreement number 99P13GIL3 from the National Institute of Corrections, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view or opinions expressed in this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of

Justice.

Center for Innovative Public Policies, Inc.
7913 NW 83rd Street
Tamarac, Florida 33321-1727
(954) 726-5322
Fax: (954) 721-0492
E-mail: CIPPINC@aol.com

© 2000 Center for Innovative Public Policies, Inc.

TRAINING FOR INVESTIGATORS OF STAFF SEXUAL MISCONDUCT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction

- General Training Objectives
- Action Planning
- Training Schedule

Section I – Defining Staff Sexual Misconduct, and an Overview of the National Scope

Objectives

Introduction - Definition and National Scope of Staff Sexual Misconduct

A. Summary of National Reports
B. Headlines From Media Sources
C. Facts and Figures
D. Personal and Professional Risks
E. Proactive and Reactive Missions
F. Definitions
G. Action Planning

Summary

Section II - Legal Considerations

Objectives

A. Cross Gender Cases Involving Male Inmates
B. Cross Gender Cases Involving Female Inmates
C. Sex Cases
D. Qualified Immunity
E. BFOQ
F. Constitutional Considerations
    Staff
    Inmates
G. Case Law
    Briefs on Numerous Cases / Outcomes
H. Vicarious Liability
I. Legal Perspective on Individual and Class Action Lawsuits

J. Employment Law and Issues

Summary

Section III – Institutional Culture and Staff/Inmate Dynamics

Objectives

A. Introduction

B. Unique Nature Staff Sexual Misconduct Investigations.
    1. Sexual and Personal Nature
    2. Potential Criminal Charges
    3. Consent – no such thing
    4. Constitutional Issues
C. Staff/Inmate Dynamics
    1. Introduction
    2. Inmate Management Issues
        a. Basic Differences
        b. Communication
    3. Sexualized Work Environment
    4. Undue Familiarity Between Inmates and Staff
    5. Inmate Characteristics
        a. Introduction
        b. Inmate Profiles
        c. Prior physical and sexual abuse among inmate population
        d. Substance Abuse histories among inmate population
        e. Mental Health
        f. Post-traumatic Stress Disorder
        g. Unique characteristics of female offender
    6. Staff Characteristics
        a. Introduction
        b. Workplace Data
            (1) Drug and Alcohol Abuse
            (2) Mental Health
        c. Imbalance of Power

Summary

Section IV. Proactive Investigative Framework

Objectives

Introduction – Proactive Administrative and Investigative Policies

A. Agency Tools for Investigations
    1. Source of Authority to Conduct Investigations

2. Who Are Your Investigators?
3. Agency Policy of Zero Tolerance
4. Agency Culture
5. Inmate Grievance Procedures
6. Inmate Disciplinary Procedures
7. Inmate Rules
8. Inmate Orientation
9. Mandatory Reporting of Misconduct Allegations
   a. Employees
   b. Inmates
10. Inmate Medical and Mental Health Services
11. Inmate Classification and Inmate Programming
12. Related Administrative Functions
   a. Human Resources
   b. Fiscal Operations
13. Public Involvement – The Media, Citizens, and Inmate Advocates
   The Agency's Media Plan
   The Media
   The Public
14. Training
15. Audit and Review
16. Triage Your Agency's Practices
17. Handling False Allegations
18. Quid Pro Quo
19. Value Added to Agency from Investigations

B. Investigative Framework
1. Establishing Investigative Partners
   Local Prosecutors
   Hospital and Mental Health Providers
   Advocacy Groups
   Others
2. Demystifying the Investigative Process
   Secrecy or Privacy?
   Educating Staff and Inmates
   Be Visible
   Be Proactive
   Maintaining a Reputation for Fair and Quality Investigations

Summary

Section V. Responding to Allegations

Objectives

A. Administrative Considerations
1. Assigning the Investigation
2. The Investigative Outcome

3. Making the Decision on How to Proceed
4. Personnel Issues
5. Management of Investigations
Investigative Structure
Supervisory Monitoring
Tracking Allegations and Investigations
B. Investigative Response to Allegations
Flow Chart of Investigative Process
1. Criminal vs. Administrative
2. Initiating the Investigation
Preliminary Inquiry
How Allegation Received – is covert approach possible?
Conduct Preliminary Interviews with Peripheral Witnesses
Applications of Garrity and Miranda (Flow Chart)
3. Finding Information
4. Interviewing
5. Collecting and Preserving Evidence
6. Medical Evidence
7. Investigating Sexual Assaults
8. Closing the Investigation

Summary

Activity Booklet and Action Planning

Appendices

1. Headlines from Media Sources
2. Additional Definitions
3. Elements of a Good Law
4. Rape Trauma Syndrome
5. Red Flags (some examples)
6. Sample Evidence Log
7. Sample Evidence Receipt
8. Sample Case Studies (2)
9. Sample Policy and Procedure - Georgia Dept. of Corrections

Bibliography

Other Resource Information

1. Related web sites

Preface and Acknowledgments

Producing a curriculum to address the needs of investigators in the area of allegations of staff sexual misconduct is a serious undertaking. To reach all fifty state corrections systems is a challenge. In order to accomplish the creation of relevant curriculum, the advice, guidance, and insight of many corrections professionals was necessary.

The authors wish to acknowledge and thank the staff of the National Institute of Corrections for their leadership and assistance during the preparation of this training curriculum. Andie Moss' knowledge, guidance, personal commitment and insights were invaluable to producing a high quality training program to improve professionalism in corrections.

Thanks and appreciation are extended also to Brenda V. Smith, Washington College of Law, The American University for her determination and persistence to improve prisons for all inmates, as well as her considerable contributions to Section II - Legal Considerations. The subject matter experts who participated in the curriculum development also are deserving of our thanks: Richard J. Ehle, Assistant Director, Office of Internal Affairs, Department of Corrections, State of California; Maureen Buell, Director of Women and Family Services, Department of Corrections, State of Vermont; Neville O. Massie, Warden, Department of Corrections, State of Oklahoma; Deb Wienard, Special Investigator, Minnesota Department of Corrections; and Jennie L. Lancaster, Central Regional Director, North Carolina Department of Corrections. Thanks also to the Arlington County, Virginia, Office of Sheriff, especially former Sheriff Thomas N. Faust, Interim Sheriff Beth Arthur, Chief Deputy Mike Raffo, and Sergeant Jimmie Barrett.

A special thanks to the Georgia Department of Corrections for sharing their successful efforts to address staff sexual misconduct. Thanks to Jan Roulain, Mirla D. Bigda, Harris Hodges, William P. Kissel, William F. Amideo, Phyllis Grimes, and Scheree Lipscomb.

Thanks also to the Florida Department of Corrections for sharing their investigative experience and assisting with relevant interviews and contacts, especially to Ed Sobach, Chief of Investigations, Bureau of State Investigations, Office of the Inspector General; Cary Hill, Regional Supervisor of Investigations, Office of the Inspector General; and Marta Villacorta, Regional Director.

The authors wish to acknowledge the contributions of consultants to this project: Lt. Col. J. C. Gerwens, Broward County, Florida, Sheriff's Office; Jeanne B. Stinchcomb, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Florida Atlantic University, Davie Florida; and Sergeant Charles Tate, Office of Professional Compliance, Broward County, Florida, Sheriff's Office. Thanks are also extended to Deborah Bartolucci and Marie Hall for providing support to this project.